



Attorney Docket: 98-0725

DECLARATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office ad		itimonobin ara se stated			
			name is listed below) or an origon which is claimed and for which we Network Multimedia Broadcas		
the specification of which					
Check One): X is attached hereto. was filed on					as
Ар	plication Se	rial No			
an	d was amen	ded on (if applicable)_			
which is material to the pat 1.56 printed on the reverse	entability of e side of this foreign application	this application in access Declaration. I hereby plication(s) for patent in for patent or invention	ve. I acknowledge the duty to ordance with Title 37, Code of Fe velaim foreign priority benefits un or inventor's certificate listed benefits certificate having a filing data	nder Title 3 elow and h	5, United
				Dala attack	-tolmod
Application No.	•	Country	Date of Filing	Priority (1 1
Application No.		Country	Date of Filing	Priority (No No
None I hereby claim the benefit below and, insofar as the States application in the	t under Titk subject mat	e 35, United States C ter of each of the claim rovided by the first p	Date of Filing ode, § 120 of any United States is of this application is not disclosionaragraph of Title 35, United States of and the national or PCT inter	Yes s applicationed in the pates Code	No In(s) listed for United, § 112, ons, § 1.56
None I hereby claim the benefit below and, insofar as the States application in the acknowledge the duty to which occurred between	t under Titk subject mat	e 35, United States C ter of each of the claim rovided by the first p	ode, § 120 of any United States s of this application is not disclos aragraph of Title 35, United States fined in Title 37, Code of Federa	Yes s applications	No In(s) listed for United, § 112, ons, § 1.56 ing date o

Application No.	Date of Filing	Status-Patented, Pending or Abandoned
None		[
115.15	1	





APPLICABLE STATUTES & RULES

37 CFR 1.56: DUTY TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION MATERIAL TO PATENTABILITY.

(a) A paiorit by its very natura is directed with a public interest. The public interest is best spread, and the most effective patent expringition occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is assure of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentiability. Each individual associated with the litting and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of cander and good take in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to discise to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentiability as destined in this section. The duty to discises information endats with respect to see pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentiability of erry claim remarking under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentiability of erry claim remarking under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentiability of erry claim issued in a patent was claid by the Office or submitted to the Office in he manner prescribed by a 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patential to permitted to the Office in an application with which found on the Office was practiced or stampted or the duty of disclasure was violated through bad fails or intertional misconduct. The Office encourages applicable to curriculty exemines.

(1) prior art claim information over which individuals associated with the filling or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentiably defines, to make sure that any material information controlled therein is disclassed to the Office.

(a) Under this section information is exacted in patentiability when it is not counterpart or foreground or record or being made of record in the application, and

(1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facta case of unparamitability of a claim; or
(2) It estates, or in inconsistent with, a position the applicant tables in:
(3) Opposing an argument of unpatentability ratied on by the Office, or
(4) Asserting an argument of patentability.
(5) A prima facta case of unpatentability is statistically when the information compete a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the prepondenance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the operations and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability. habilish a contrary conclusion of patentiality.

(c) Individuals associated with the filling or presecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

Individuals associated with thing of presentation or a peacett application; while the associated as the five inventor natural in the application; and

(2) Each atternay or agent who properties or presentate the application; and

(3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or presentation of the application and who is essected with the five assignee or with anyone to whom there is an adoption to essential or the application.

Individuals other than the atternay, agent or twentor may comply with this specient by disclosing information to the atternay, agent, or inventor.

85 U.S.C. 102: CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY: NOVELTY AND LOSS OF RIGHT TO PATENT

A person shall be entitled to a patent orligis—

(iii) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or (b) the inventi

on was palanted or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to

the date of the application for patents or decompose in a present in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this dountry, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or

(d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's continents, by the applicant or his local representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for potent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the titing of the application in the United States, or

on in a crisic course, or

(a) The invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the Invention thereof by the application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the
or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of peragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this tide before the invention thereof by the enolicary for palent, or

applicant for param, or

(f) he did not tileself levent the subject metter sought to be patented, or

(g) before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not ettendaned, suppressed, or conseeled it. In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, has also the reasonable diligence of one who was limit to re and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the usber,

35 U.S. C. 103: CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY: NON-OBVIOUS SUBJECT MATTER

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set (orth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the ort to which subject rester pertains. Prioritability strait not be negative by the matters in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior only under subject of (or (g)) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentiality under this saction where the subject matter evel the claimed invention was, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same

35 ILE.C. 110: BENEFIT OF EARLIER FILING DATE IN FOREIGN COUNTY; RIGHT OF PRIORITY (Applicable Portion)

An application for patent for an invention filed in this country by any person who has, or whose tegal representatives or existing have, previously regularly filed on application for a patent for the same invention in a foreign country which allords similar privileges in the case of applications filed in the United States or to otherwood the United States, shall have the same effect as the same application would have if lifed in this country on the date on which the application for patent for the country which was first filed in such lovely or country, if the application in this country is filed within twelve morals from the earliest date on which such foreign application was filed; but no patent shall be granted or any application for a patent for an any application in the country more than one year before the date of the actual titing of the application in this country, or which had been in public use or on sale in this country more than one year prior to such filing.

35 U.S.C. 120: BENEFIT OF EARLIER FILING DATE IN THE LINITED STATES

An application for patent for an invention disclosed in the manner provided by the first paragraph of section 112 of this title in an application previously filed in the United States, or as provided by section 383 of this title, by the same invention shall have the same effect, as to such invention, as though filed on the date of the prior application, if filed before the patenting or abandoment of or formination of proceedings on the first application or on an application similarly emitted to the benefit of the first application and if it contains or is an application and application.

35 U.S.C. 112: SPECIFICATION (Applicable Portion)

The Specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the making and process of making and using it, in such hall, clear, concise, and exact sames up to person skilled in the art to which it persons, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the use the same, and chall set forth the best node contemplated by the inventor. of currying out his in

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctive extension, or subject motor which the applicant regards up his invention.





I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

SIGNATURE(S)

Full name of first inventor: Brandon A. Grooters	
Inventor's signature 1 Jandon A Croste	15
Date	
Residence Watauga, Texas	
Post Office Address_6832 Woodale Drive, Watauga, TX	·
·	-
Full name of second joint inventor	
Inventor's signature	
Date	•
Residence	
Post Office Address	
Full name of third joint inventor	
Inventor's signature	
Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Residence	· -
Post Office Address	